

NASS Journal of Agricultural Science

http://ojs.nassg.org/index.php/NJAS

ARTICLE

Parasitoids Collected from Animal Feces in Brazil

Carlos Henrique Marchiori*

Instituto Federal Goiano, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history

Received: 30 April 2021 Accepted: 11 June 2021 Published Online: 12 July 2021

Keywords:
Diptera
Hymenoptera
Poultry farms
Buffalo
Cattle

1. Introduction

Dipterans (flies) (Insecta: Diptera) are vectors of pathogens such as viruses, bacteria, protozoan cysts and parasitic worms. Can cause disease in animals and nuisance to humans both in the urban and rural environment [1].

Parasitoids (Hymenoptera) are insects that have adapted to the parasitic way of life using nutritional resources limited by the immature or acquiring nutrients during adult ^[6].

Therefore, the biological control of dipteran with the use of parasitoid meets the search for alternatives to the problem, as it is a safe method, easy to handle and low cost ^[3].

The purpose of the paper is to report the species of dipteran parasitoids in poultry feces on farms, buffalo, and cattle in the field in Brazil.

2. Material and Methods

The experiment was carried out in a poultry farm

The purpose of the paper is to report the species of dipteran parasitoids in poultry feces on farms, buffalo, and cattle in the field in Brazil. The experiments were carried out from April 2006 to December 2007. The pupae were obtained by the flotation method. They were individually placed in gelatin capsules until the emergency of the adult flies or their parasitoids. The specie more frequent was *Spalangia endius* Walker (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) with 7.2%. Were obtained from bovine feces 628 pupae of dipterous in buffalo feces, 3,437 pupae were collected and from chicken feces 2,799 pupae, from which 78, 172 and 504 parasitoids emerged, respectively. The most frequent species in bovine, of buffalo and chicken feces were: *Gnathopleura quadridentata* Wharton (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) with 25.6%, *Spalangia drosophilae* Ashmead (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) with 21.5% and *Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae* (Rondani, 1875) with 46.8%, respectively.

in Morrinhos, Goiás, Midwest, Brazil (18°25'S and 49°13'W). The collected feces originated birds raised in the cage system. Fresh feces, collected immediately after emission, were placed in five 30 cm diameter by 12 cm high bowls, which were left in the dry environment for 15 days: for pupae extraction by the flotation method. The pupae, which were individually placed in gelatin capsules to obtain dipterous and /or the parasitoids.

The experiment was carried out on a farm in the south of Goias (18°25'S and 49°12'W), Brazil. Every fortnight, 10 plates of fecal cake (of approximately 3 kg each) were produced from fresh bovine feces that were collected immediately after defecation in pastures of *Brachiaria brizantha* (Hochst ex. A. Rich) and in corrals. The material was collected in plastic buckets and was homogenized. It was then placed in 10 round plastic supbyts of 20 cm in diameter, with a hole to allow rainwater to drain away. This methodology was used for precise determination of

Instituto Federal Goiano, Goiânia, Goiás, Brazil;

Email: chmarchiori@yahoo.com.br

ABSTRACT

^{*}Corresponding Author: Carlos Henrique Marchiori,

the time between the emission of the fecal cake and its collection. The feces remained exposed (five in the pastures and five in the corrals) for 15 days. After this period, the feces were taken to the laboratory for extraction of pupae by means of the flotation method. The pupae were removed with the aid of a sieve; they were counted and individually stored in gelatin capsules (number 00) until the flies and/or parasitoids emerged. The parasitoids and flies that emerged were identified with the aid of a stereoscopic microscope and were conserved in 70% alcohol ^[5]. The experiments were carried out from April 2006 to December 2007.

The percentage parasitism of each parasitoid species was calculated by means of the number of pupae parasitized by each parasitoid species divided by the total number of pupae of that host and multiplied by 100. The parasitoids' preference for their hosts was tested by means of the chi-square test, with 5.0% probability.

3. Results and Discussion

Were obtained from bovine feces 628 pupae of dipterous in buffalo feces, 3,437 pupae were collected and from chicken feces 2,799 pupae, from which 78, 172 and 504 parasitoids emerged, respectively (Tables 1, 2 and 3).

Table 1. Parasitoids and their dipterans collected in the feces chicken in Brazil.

Diptera	Nº. of pupae	Parasitoids	Pupae parasitized	%
Calliphoridae:				
Chrysomya megacephala	500	Nasonia vitripennis	3	0.6
		Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae	3	0.6
		Spalangia endius	3	0.6
Fanniidae:				
Fannia pusio	42	Muscidifurax raptorellus	2	4.8
		Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae	2	4.8
Muscidae:				
Musca domestica	2083	Muscidifurax raptorellus	3	0.6
		Nasonia vitripennis	5	1.0
		Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae	347	16.7
		Spalangia endius	67	3.2
		Spalangia nigra	16	0.8
		Spalangia nigroaenea	2	0.1
		Spalangia sp.	15	0.7
		Tachinaephagus zealandicus	10	0.5
Sepsidae:				
Palaeosepsis sp.	81	Nasonia vitripennis	2	2.5
		Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae	8	10.0
		Spalangia drosophilae	2	2.5
		Spalangia sp.	10	12.3
Syrphidae:				
Ornidia obesa	93	Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae	2	2.2
		Spalangia cameroni	2	2.2
Total	2799	-	504	-

Table 2. Percentage of parasitoid collected from cattle feces in Brazil

Diptera species (number of pupae collected)	*Parasitoids	Individuals number	%
Archisepsis scabra (40)	Spalangia drosophilae	04	10.0
Brontaea debilis (56)	Spalangia cameroni	01	1.79
	Spalangia nigroaenea	02	3.57
Brontaea quadristigma (49)	Kleidotoma nigra	02	4.08
	Spalangia cameroni	01	2.04
	Spalangia drosophilae	01	2.04
	Spalangia. endius	01	2.04
	Spalangia nigroaenea	05	10.2
Cyrtoneurina pararescita (151)	Spalangia nigra	03	1.99
	Spalangia nigroaenea	05	3.31
Chrysomya megacephala (51)	Absent		
Musca domestica (10)	Spalangia cameroni	01	10.0
Oxysarcodexia thornax (70)	Gnathopleura quadridentata	20	28.6
Palaeosepsis spp. (107)	Paraganaspis egeria	02	1.87
	Spalangia drosophilae	02	1.87
	Spalangia endius	01	0.93
	Triplasta atrocoxalis	02	1.87
	Triplasta coxalis	08	7.48
	Trichopria sp.	01	0.93
Ravinia belforti (63)	Absent		
	Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae	05	7.94
	Spalangia cameroni	01	1.59
	Spalangia nigra	04	6.35
Sarcophagula occidua (31)	Spalangia nigroaenea	06	9.52
Total pupae: 628		78	124

The most frequent species in bovine, of buffalo and chicken feces were: *Gnathopleura quadridentata* Wharton (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) with 25.6%, *Spalangia drosophilae* Ashmead (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) (Figure 1) with 21.5% and *Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae* (Ron-

Table 3. Percentage of parasitoid microhimenoptera collected in feces of buffaloes in Brazil

Diptera species (number of pupae collected)	*Parasitoids	Individuals number	%
Archisepsis scabra (310)	Paraganaspis egeria	04	1.29
	Spalangia drosophilae	01	0.32
	Trichopria sp.	01	0.32
Brontaea quadristigma (138)	Paraganaspis egeria	01	0.72
	Spalangia drosophilae	02	1.45
Brontaea debilis (127)	Spalangia cameroni	02	1.57
	Spalangia nigroaenea	01	0.79
Cyrtoneurina pararescita (19)	Spalangia nigra	01	5.26
	Spalangia nigroaenea	01	5.26
Palaeosepsis spp. (1948)	Kleidotoma nigra	06	0.31
	Paraganaspis egeria	12	0.62
	Spalangia cameroni	04	0.21
	Spalangia drosophilae	01	0.05
	Spalangia nigra	16	0.82
	Trichopria sp.	09	0.46
	Triplasta atrocoxalis	19	0.98
	Triplasta coxalis	08	0.41
Sarcophagula occidua (931)	Paraganaspis egeria	11	1.18
	Spalangia cameroni	08	0.86
	Spalangia drosophilae	33	3.54
	Spalangia endius	04	0.43
	Spalangia nigroaenea	11	1.18
	Trichopria sp.	16	1.72
Total de pupas: 3473		172	5.0

dani,) (Figure 2) with 46.8%, respectively (Table 1, 2 and 3). Probably, this difference in the number of parasitoids collected in the three substrates is due to its search capabilities and its greatest competitive potential in the larval stage.



Figure 1. *Spalangia drosoplilae* (Hynenoptera: Pteromalidae) Source: 3.boldsystems.org/index.php/Taxbrowser_Taxonpage?taxid=484379



Figure 2. *Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae* (Rondani) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae)

Source: aspweb.org/Chalcidoidea/Pteromalidae/Pteromalinae/Pachycrepoideus/Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae.htm

Gnathopleura quadridentata it is solitary endoparasitoids of numerous muscoids, preferably of sarcophagids. In some parts of the world they have been used for biological control program ^[8]. Spalangia drosophilae Ashmead (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) is a pupal parasitoid of small dipterans such as fannids, muscids and others ^[5]. Pachycrepoideus vindemmiae it is an endoparasitoid of dipterans, being found in several parts of the world as in the American and African continents ^[4].

The total percentage of parasitism in bovine, of buffalo and chicken feces were 12.4% (78/628), 45.0% (172/3473) (504/2799) and 18.0%. (504/2799), respectively. The highest percentage of parasitism in bovine feces was presented by the parasitoid G. *quadridentata*, with 28.6% (20/70) in the buffalo feces they were presented by the parasitoids *Spal*-

angia nigra Latreille (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) (Figure 3) with 5.26% (1/19) and *Spalangia nigroaenea* Curtis also with 5.26% (1/19) and in chicken feces it was *P. vindemmiae* with 46.8% (347/2083) (Tables 1, 2 and 3).



Figure 3. *Spalangia nigra* Latreille (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae)

Source: flickr.com

Possibly due to the ability to search the parasitoid by food, their seasonality and the greater presence of their hosts in the collection area may explain the higher percentage of parasitism of these species.

Spalangia. nigroaenea is a pupal parasitoid being collected in some Brazilian states (Figure 4) parasitizing *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Muscidae) in bovine feces. *Spalangia nigra* (Letraille) is a species originating from the Holtartic region with wide distribution in North America ^[7,2].



Figure 4. Map of Brazil: and their regions - Midwestern Region green color.

Source: https://www.preparaenem.com/geografia/mapa-do-brasil.htm

Regarding the attraction of parasitoids to dipterans,

it was found that *M. raptorellus* was attracted to *Fannia* pusio (Diptera: Fanniidae); *N. vitripennis* by *Chrysomya* megacephala (Fabricius) (Diptera: Calliphoridae); *P. vindemmiae* by *F. pusio*, *M. domestica* and *Ornidia obesa* Fabricius (Diptera: Syrphidae); *S. cameroni* by *O. obesa; S. drosophilae* by *Palaeosepsis* sp. (Diptera: Sepsidae); *S. endius* by *C. megacephala* and *M. domestica*; *S. nigra* by *M. domestica*; *S. nigra* by *M. domestica*; *S. nigroaenae* by *M. domestica*; *Spalangia* sp. by *M. domestica*; *T. zealandicus* by *M. domestica* (X²=711,80; GL=36; P<0,05).

Regarding the preference of parasitoids for their hosts in bovine feces, it was found that G. quadridentata showed preference for Oxysarcodexia thornax (Walker) (Diptera: Sarcophagidae); Kleidotoma nigra (Hartig) (Hymenoptera: Figitidae) showed preference for Brontaea quadristigma (Thomson) (Diptera: Muscidae); Pachycrepoideus vimdemmiae (Rondani) (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) showed preference for Ravinia belforti (Prado & Fonseca) (Diptera: Sarcophagidae); Paraganaspis egeria Díaz et al. (Hymenoptera: Figitidae) showed preference for Palaeosepsis spp. (Diptera; Sepsidae); Spalangia cameroni Perkins (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) showed preference for Brontaea debilis (Williston) (Diptera: Muscidae), B. quadristigma, M. domestica and R. belforti; S. drosophilae showed preference for Archisepsis scabra (Loew) (Diptera: Sepsidae) and B. quadristigma and Palaeosepsis spp.; Spalangia endius Walker (Hymenoptera: Pteromalidae) showed preference for B. quadristigma and Palaeosepsis spp.; S. nigra showed preference for Cyrtoneurina pararescita Couri (Diptera: Muscidae) and R. belforti; S. nigroaenea showed preference for B. debilis, B. quadristigma, C. pararescita and R. belforti; Trichopria sp. (Hymenoptera: Diapriidae) showed preference for Palaeosepsis spp.; Triplasta atrocoxalis (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera; Figitidae) showed preference for Palaeosepsis spp. and Triplasta coxalis (Ashmead) (Hymenoptera; Figitidae) showed preference for *Palaeosepsis* spp. $(X^2 =$ 250,91; GL:77; P<0,05).

Regarding the preference of parasitoids for their hosts in bovine feces, it was found that *K. nigra* showed preference for *Palaeosepsis* spp.; *P. egeria* showed preference for *A. scabra* and *B. quadristigma*; *S. cameroni* showed preference for *B. debilis* and *Sarcophagula occidua* (Fabricius) (Diptera: Sarcophagidae); *S. drosophilae* showed preference for *B. quadristigma* and *S. occidua*; *S. endius* showed preference for *S. occidua*; *S. nigra* showed preference

ence for *C. pararescita* and *S. occidua*; *S. nigroaenea* for *B. debilis*, *C. pararescita* and *S. occidua*; *Trichopria* sp. for *A. scabra* and *S. occidua*; *T. atrocoxalis* for *Palaeosepsis* spp. and *T. coxalis* for *Palaeosepsis* spp. $(X^2 = 146,12; P<0.05; GL:45)$.

4. Conclusions

The most frequent species in bovine, of buffalo and chicken feces were: *G. quadridentata, S. drosophilae* and *P. vindemmiae*. The highest percentage of parasitism in bovine feces was presented by the parasitoid *G. quadridentata*, in the buffalo feces they were presented by the parasitoids *S. nigra* and *S. nigroaenea* also and in chicken feces it was *P. vindemmiae*.

References

- [1] Berti Filho, E., Thomazini, M.J. 1996. Costa, V.A. Artrópodes benéficos associados ao esterco de galinhas poedeiras no Brasil Revista Agricola 71: 273-286.
- [2] Boucek, Z. 1963 A taxonomic study in *Spalangia* Latr. (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea). Acta Entomologica Musei Nationalis Pragae 35: 429-512, 1963.
- [3] Carvalho, A.R., Mello, R.P., D'Almeida, J.M. 2003. Microhimenópteros parasitóides de *Chrysomya megacephala* Revista de Saúde Pública 37(6),810-812, 2003.
- [4] Hanson, P.E., Gauld, I.D. 1995. The Hymenoptera of Costa Rica. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 893 p.
- [5] Marchiori, C.H. 2002. Microhimenópteros parasitóides de moscas em esterco bovino em Cachoeira Dourada, Goiás, Brasil Entomologia y Vectores 9: 365:374.
- [6] Scatolini, D., Penteado-Dias, A.M.P. 1997. A fauna de Braconidae (Hymenoptera) como bioindicadora do grau de preservação de duas localidades do Estado do Paraná. Revista Brasileira de Ecologia 1: 84-8.
- [7] Silveira, G.A.R., Madeira, N.G., Azeredo-Espin, A.M., Pavan, C. 1989. Levantamento de microhimenópteros parasitóides de dípteros de importância médico-veterinária no Brasil. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz 84(4), 505-510.
- [8] Wharton, R.A.1979. Puparia of cyclorrhaphous Diptera from bovine dung in open pasture and rangeland in the transition zone of Western North America. Entomological Society of America 7:80-89.